

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,901	11/16/2001	Yasunori Toda	011543	7804
38834 7	590 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
1250 CONNEC SUITE 700	1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		3721	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/987,901	TODA, YASUNORI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher R Harmon	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fruit to the cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 April 2004</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 2 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/I Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/987,901 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller et al. (US 4,597,752) in view of Martin et al. (US 5,062,597).

Muller et al. disclose a continuous folding device comprising arm 102 which guides paper 4 in an accordion fashion upon a platform then creased by creasing mechanism 7; see figure 1. Arm 102 further comprises telescopic members 26 (main arm body) and 27 (sub arm body) for varying the length of the guiding member. Arm 102 is controlled with motor 18.

Muller et al. does not directly disclose horizontal or swinging of arm 102, however Martin et al. teach folding by swing arm 11. Furthermore, the table of Muller et al. does not move vertically nor has a detection mechanism for controlling vertical movement.

However Martin et al. describe a vertically controlled table 47; see figure 1. Sensors 40 detect proper positioning of the stacked paper and control raising and lowering of the table according to preset values; see column 5, lines 55-68.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Martin et al. in the invention of Muller et al. in order to manipulate the folds and the stacks.

Application/Control Number: 09/987,901 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

Response to Arguments

3. Regarding claims 1 and 3-7, while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed towards an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. See *In re Schreiber*, 128 F.3d 1473-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429-32 (Fed.Cir. 1997) and *Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.*, 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed.Cir. 1990).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is allowed.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is 703-308-8643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 09/987,901 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch